TAB 13a

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT CHARLESTON

____X

THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON, : Civil Action

Plaintiff, : No. 3:17-cv-01362

V.

AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants. :

CABELL COUNTY COMMISSION, : Civil Action

Plaintiff, : No. 3:17-cv-01665

v.

AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants. : x

BENCH TRIAL - VOLUME 21

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID A. FABER, SENIOR STATUS JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA

JUNE 7, 2021

```
1
       idea that he can come in and make allegations about
2
       suspicious orders and not even answer the Court's basic
 3
       question of whether they did anything about it, that's not
 4
       fair to us.
 5
                 THE COURT: Well, I'm confused and I probably
 6
       shouldn't stick my nose in this that deeply, but I'm
 7
       confused. The witness testified about the lack of person
 8
       power and resources to do these investigations.
 9
            And then if I understood you correctly, you said you
10
       followed up on all the suspicious order reports. How did
11
       you rationalize those two propositions, --
12
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
                 THE COURT: -- Mr. Rannazzisi?
13
14
                 THE WITNESS: We did follow up on suspicious
15
                But understand the volume of suspicious orders that
16
       should come in is not a huge quantity of orders.
       shouldn't be like boxes of orders. It should be a very
17
18
       specific order that outlines why it's suspicious, what
19
       triggered the suspicion, what triggered the order, what's
20
       the historical ordering pattern. And then we would follow
21
       up.
22
            But, but we're not talking about 100, 1,000 orders.
23
       We're talking about specific suspicious orders. And that,
24
       that -- I can't go over every order. But if you do
25
       suspicious orders appropriately and correctly, you're not
```

Ayme A. Cochran, RMR, CRR (304) 347-3128

```
1
       going to get 1,000 suspicious orders coming into a, to DEA
2
       in one day.
 3
            What you will get is suspicious orders go into the
 4
       offices that if they're done appropriately, the agents could
 5
       use -- agents and investigators can use that to build cases.
 6
                 MR. SCHMIDT: And, Your Honor, our objection still
 7
       stands. He did not answer Your Honor's question, which is:
 8
       Do you follow up on all of them? I think by design he
 9
       didn't answer that question.
10
            If we're not allowed to ask him about the details of
11
       individual suspicious orders, particularly the relevant ones
12
       in this community, whatever his Goldilocks standard is for
13
       just the right amount of suspicious orders, then we can't
       fairly examine him. The testimony should be stricken.
14
15
                 MR. NICHOLAS: I think just to -- I agree and I
16
       would only add that it is a contested issue as to whether
17
       the DEA did do anything with suspicious orders. I mean, we
18
       don't agree, and we're challenging that statement.
19
            And if we don't have the ability to, to hear the
20
       witness testify about it, we -- it's like we're on ice
21
       without ice skates or something. We don't have any footing.
22
       We can't deal with it.
23
                 THE COURT: Let me make sure I understand the
24
       issue here.
25
            The question was probing the, the policies of DEA with
```